## The Stages of Evolution of the Ash'arī Ma<u>dh</u>hab: The Kullabiyyah, Mu'tazilah and Philosophers—and their Notable Scholars

The Ash'arī Madhhab was not spread by its founder Abul-Hasan al-Ash'arī (d. 324 AH)—rather it was promulgated after his time. Tor this reason, the biographers of Abul-Hasan (ﷺ) list his students at no more than four. Later followers of the Ash'arī Creed such as Ibn 'Asākir and as-Subkī mentioned among his students those who spoke with his beliefs or just met him—but did not study under him. And due to that, Ibn 'Abdul-Hādī (ﷺ) refuted some of the notables who Ibn 'Asākir ascribed to the Madhhab of the Ash'ariyyah.

The stages of evolution of the  $Ash^car\bar{\imath}$   $Ma\underline{dh}hab$  are numerous, but it possible to categorise them into three broad periods.

## The First Stage: The Kullābī Ash'arīs.

So, in this first stage, the *Ash'arīs* are referred to as *al-Ash'ariyyah al-Kullābiyyah* due to them adopting and embracing the doctrines of Ibn Kullāb (d. 240 AH) who inclined to the Creed of *Ahlul-Ḥadīth was-Sun-nah*. However, he fell into innovations—and the most severe of those innovations was his assertion that there is not established with Allāh

<sup>114</sup> The fact that he recanted and ascribed to the Creed of Imām Ahmad Ibn Hanbal (رَحَمُالَكُ) made his earlier ascriptions to al-I'tizāl and al-Kullābiyyah obsolete.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> Tabyīn Kadhib al-Muftarī p. 177, Tabaqāt ash-Shāfi<sup>c</sup>iyyat al-Kubrā 3/386.

 $<sup>^{116}</sup>$  Jam $^{c}$  al-Juyūsh wad-Dasākir pp. 179-280—see Mawqif Ibn Taymiyyah minal-Ashā $^{c}$ irah 1/344.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com -2023 All rights reserved

any Discretionary Actions and Attributes connected to His Will and Ability which Ibn Kullāb claimed would necessitate Hulūl al-Hawādith (the occurrence of events and incidents) in the Self of Allāh. He affirmed as-Sifāt al-Khabariyyah<sup>117</sup> and he refuted those who negated them, even though he agreed with them in some of their principles. Shaikhul-Islām Ibn Taymiyyah (رَحَمُهُ اللهُ) stated that Ibn Kullāb wrote refutations against the Jahmiyyah, Mu'tazilah and others-and he spoke with affirmation of the Khabariyyah Attributes—and, in that, he inclined towards Ahlul-Hadīth was-Sunnah. So, in his writings there were some innovations—he correctly affirmed the Attributes established with the Dhāt (Self) of Allāh, but he negated the Chosen or Discretionary Attributes of Allāh. He authored refutations against the Jahmiyyah who negated the Sifāt Dhātiyyah (Eternal Unceasing Attributes) and al-'Uluww. 118 He wrote with proofs and speech that was easy to comprehend and expansive in this subject matter... until he (Ibn Kullāb) became an example and an *Imām* for those who came after him from this orientation. They affirmed the Attributes and opposed the negators—even though they shared with the negators (Jahmiyyah and Mu'tazilah) some of their futile principles which caused corruption in some of the affairs they spoke about as it related to the role

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> The *Khabariyyah* Attributes can only be known from the texts of Book and Sunnah—such as Allāh's two Eyes, two Hands, Face, Shin, Feet, etc. The affirmation of these Attributes cannot be ascertained through reason and intellect. We only know them because Allāh has informed us about them. (See *al-I'tiqād* of al-Bayhaqī p. 36, *al-Irshād* of al-Juwainī p. 146, *Bayān Talbīs al-Jahmiyyah* 1/76,83, *Dar at-Ta'ārad* 3/382, *Mawqif Ibn Taymiyyah minal-Ashā'tirah* 1/1224.

 $<sup>^{118}</sup>$  Al- $^{\circ}$ Uluww: The Highness of Allāh—i.e., that Allāh is High above His creation, over it, and separate from it.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com -2023 All rights reserved

of the intellect. So, they held views in opposition to the Qur'ān and Sunnah. The followers of Ibn Kullāb (d. ~240 AH) included al-Hārith al-Muhāsabī (d. 243 AH), Abul-'Abbās al-Qalānisī, then a while later, Abul-Hasan al-Ash'arī (324 AH) and Abul-Hasan aṭ-Ṭabarī (d. 380 AH).<sup>119</sup>

Ibn Taymiyyah (وَهَا الْعَالَى) also explained that it was the belief of Ibn Kullāb and those who agreed with him such as al-Hārith al-Mu-hāsabī, Abul-ʿAbbās al-Qalānisī, Abul-Hasan al-Ashʿarī, Qāḍī Abu Bakr aṭ-Ṭayyib al-Bāqillānī (d. 403 AH) and Qāḍī Abu Yaʿlā al-Farrāʾ (d. 458) that the Lord does not have actions that He does when He Wills by His Ability—and that is because of their belief that affirming this for Him (عَنَاهَا) would not absolve Him from incidents, occurrences, and events that take place in bodies. And by this, they agreed with the principle of Jahm Ibn Safwān and his followers from the Jahmiyyah and Muʿtazilah. 120

Shaikhul-Islām Ibn Taymiyyah (وَهَمُواُلِلَةً) stated:

The *Kullābiyyah* are the teachers of the *Ashʿariyyah*. Abul-Hasan al-Ashʿarī (هَمْ الْعَمْ ) emulated the path of Muhammad Ibn Kullāb— and Ibn Kullāb was the closest to the age of Salaf in terms of time and path. <sup>121</sup>

The Ash<sup>c</sup>arīs of this age agreed upon the following:

- 1. To embrace the methodology of al-Kalām (Speculative Theology).
- 2. Negation of the Discretionary Attributes (aṣ-Ṣifāt al-Ikhtiyāriyyah).
- 3. Negating that Allāh Speaks when He Wills.

 $<sup>^{119}</sup>$  Majmū $^{c}$  al-Fatāwā, 12/366-367.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> Majmū<sup>c</sup> al-Fatāwā, 5/411.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> Al-Istiqāmah of Ibn Taymiyyah, 1/105.

- 4. Adoption of *Ta'wīl* (Interpretation) and *Majāz* (Metaphors) for the Attributes of Allāh as methodological approach.
- 5. Al-Irjā' in general-i.e., removing actions from the definition and meaning of  $\bar{l}m\bar{a}n$ , and asserting that  $\bar{l}m\bar{a}n$  neither increases nor decreases.
- 6. *Al-Jabr* (Compulsion) in the affair of *al-Qadar* (Pre-decree)—i.e., that a person is compelled without choice.
- 7. They affirmed the Ṣifāt al-Khabariyyah in general—and the earlier Ash'arīs of this age affirmed al-'Uluww (Highness of Allāh above the creation) and al-Istiwā' (Ascension over the Throne), except for Ibn al-Fawrak (d. 406 AH) who fell into confusion.

This age began with the repentance of Abul-Hasan (aged 40) from al-I'tizāl at around 300 AH until the death of Ibn Fawrak in 406 AH, by which time Ibn Fawrak and al-Baqillānī had steered the Ash'arī Madh-hab to adopt the interpretations of the Jahmiyyah and Mu'tazilah while still holding on to many of the beliefs which agreed with the Madhhab of the Salaf.  $^{122}$ 

The notable scholars of this first stage of al-Ash'ariyyah al-Kullābiyyah were: Abul-Hasan al-Ash'arī (d. 324 AH)<sup>123</sup>, Abul-Hasan 'Alī Ibn Mahdī

 $<sup>^{122}</sup>$  See al-Aṭwār al-ʿAqīdah fil-Ma $\underline{dh}$ hab al-Ashʿarī, pp. 16-19.

This was after he repented from the creed of the Mu 'tazilah and entered the second stage of al-Kullābīyyah in his journey of coming closer to the Sunnah—and in the end he embraced virtually all of the Madhhab of the Salaf by attesting to the ' $Aq\bar{\imath}dah$  of  $Im\bar{a}m$  Ahmad Ibn Hanbal ( $im\bar{\imath}$ ) which culminated in his authorship of al- $Ib\bar{a}nah$ .

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com -2023 All rights reserved

aṭ-Ṭabarī (d. ~380 AH) $^{124}$ , Abu Bakr al-Bāqillānī (d. 403 AH) $^{125}$ , and Abu Bakr Ibn Fawrak (d. 406) $^{126}$ .

<sup>124</sup> He was from the students of Abul-Hasan al-Ash arī (حَمَهُ اللَّهُ). He adopted a path between al-Ash<sup>c</sup>arī and al-Bāqillānī. He affirmed al-Istiwā' and al-'Uluww for Allāh. However, he made ta'wīl of other Discretionary Attributes such as Allāh's Descending to the Nearest Heaven, His Coming on the Day of Resurrection, His Laughing, His Amazement, and His Joy-he did not affirm these Attributes as it befits Allāh, instead he negated them through ta'wīl—all based on the principle of negating from Allāh Ḥulūl al-Ḥawādith. i.e., the presence of incidental attributes and events. (Mawqif Ibn Taymiyyah minal-Ashā'irah 2/521-523, al-Aṭwār al-'Aqīdah fil-Madhhab al-Ash'arī, p. 21) <sup>125</sup> He was responsible for returning the Madhhab of al-Ash<sup>c</sup>arī closer to al-I'tizāl. He is counted as the second founder of the Madhhab. Like Abul-Hasan, he affirmed the Eternal Attributes of the Self such as Allāh's Face, Two Hands, Two Eyes, His Highness and His Ascension over the Throne, and he refuted those who interpreted al-Istiwā' (Allāh's Ascension over the Throne) to mean al-Istīlā' (that Allāh conquered His Throne). He negated the Discretionary Attributes in line with Ibn Kullāb. Imām adh-Dhahabī said that Abul-Walīd al-Bājī said in the book *Ikhtisār Firaq al-Fuqahā*' when discussing Qādī Abu Bakr al-Bāqillānī: "I asked Abu Dharr al-Harawī (d. 434 AH)—who was inclined to the Ash'arī creed, 'From where did you get this creed?' He replied, 'I was walking with Abul-Hasan ad-Dārugutnī (d. 385 AH) in Baghdād, and we met Abu Bakr Ibn at-Tayyib [al-Bāqillānī], the Ash'arī. So, ad-Dārugutnī embraced him, kissed his face and eyes. When they parted company, I asked him, 'What is this that you have done? I cannot believe that you would have done such a thing—and you are the scholar of the era?!' Ad-Dārugutnī responded, 'He is the *imām* of the Muslims, a defender of the Religion, Qādī Abu Bakr Muhammad Ibn aţ-Tayyib.' So, from that moment onwards, I continued to visit him (i.e., al-Bāqillānī), and started following his creed." Adh-Dhahabī said, 'Abu Dharr took al-Kalām and the Creed of

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

In this stage there were others such al-Khaṭṭābī (d. 388 AH) though he was the furthest of them from 'Ilmul-Kalām and he has a book in refutation of them entitled *Dhammul-Kalām* ('The Censure of Speculative Theology'). Also, al-Bayhaqī (d. 458 AH) and Ibn 'Asākir (d. 571 AH) put forth huge efforts in aiding the *Ashʿarī Madhhab* which is a reason for its widespread acceptance among the general people. Al-Bayhaqī for example gained repute in the field of Ḥadīth and was known to be a scholar, however his attachment to the Ashʿarī Creed aided of the people of misguided ta'wīl (false interpretation) instead of serving the *Madhhab* and Creed of *Ahlul-Hadīth was-Sunnah*.

Then we have those whom the *Ash'arīs* lay claim to among the scholars who excelled in *Ḥadīth* of later times and wrote the explanations to the early books of *Ḥadīth* such as an-Nawawī (d. 676 AH رَحَمُهُ اللهُ), Ibn Hajr (d. 852 AH مَعُنُلُكُ and others, may Allāh's mercy be upon them. So, these scholars were affected by some of the beliefs of the *Ash'arīs* 

Abul-Hasan [al-Ashʿarī] from Abu Bakr Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib [al-Bāqillānī] and spread it in Makkah. Then the Maghrabīs took it from him and carried it into Maghrib (Northwest Africa) and Spain. Before this, the scholars of Maghrib did not used to engage in al-Kalām (Speculative Theology)—rather they would seek precision in matters of Fiqh, Ḥadīth and Arabic—and they would not engage in futile discussions based upon the intellect. And this was the way of Abul-Walīd Ibn al-Faradī, Abu ʿUmar at-Talmankī, Makkī al-Qīsī, Abu ʿAmr ad-Dānī, Abu ʿUmar Ibn ʿAbdil-Barr and the [other] scholars." (See: Tadhkirah al-Huffāz 3/1104-1105, as-Siyar 17/558-559)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> Ibn Fawrak (d. 406) was a contemporary of al-Bāqillānī (d. 403). He was upon the methodology of *Ahlul-Kalām* and allegorical interpretation of the Attributes which did not 'conform' with the intellect—in fact, he resorted to ta'wīl of the Attributes more than his affirmation of them—and so he made ta'wīl of the 'Uluww of Allāh and His Istiwā'. He also held that the Akhbār al-Āḥād in Ḥadīth do not amount to knowledge.

due to the age and environments they lived in, and how they were cultivated in the schools of learning. However, their origin remained in agreement with Ahlus-Sunnah wal-Jamā'ah (Ahlul-Hadīth) in their methodology and beliefs—and they did not agree with the Kullābiy-yah-Ash'ariyyah in their foundational principle of Ḥulūl al-Ḥawādith. There is no doubt that the methodology and approach of Al-Hāfidh Ibn Hajr and Imām an-Nawawī are far from the Philosopher-Ash'arīs of today. So, whatever mistakes the likes of Ibn Hajr (عَمَا اللهُ عَلَى ) fell into, they were far closer to the Madhhab of the Salaf than the latter-day astray Ash'arīs. Furthermore, the likes of Ibn Fawrak and al-Bāqillānī falsely interpretated some of the Attributes and fell into opposition, however, the most notable of the Kullābī-Ash'arīs affirmed that Allāh will be seen by the believers on the Day of Judgement (ar-Ru'yah), while others would affirm the Ru'yah for Allāh while negating Direction (al-Jihah) from Him (عَرَا عَرَا اللهُ اللهُ المُعَالِيُ اللهُ اللهُ

where he said: "Chapter 16: Affirming Direction (Al-Jihāt) for Allāh, the Mighty and Majestic... The Prophet (Lipidit) said: 'Those who were just will be seated upon pulpits of light on the right side of the Most Merciful, the Mighty and Majestic—and both of His Hands are right Hands.' (Reported by Muslim, no. 18)" 'Allāmah Ibn 'Uthaimīn said: "There appears in some books of the people of Speculative Theology (Ahlul-Kalām) where they say: 'It is not allowed to describe Allah with being in particular direction at all.' They reject the Highness of Allah and that He is above (al-'Uluww), thinking that affirming this direction for Allah necessitates placing limitations upon Him. But this is not the case because we know that above the Throne there is nothing from the creation, there is none over it except Allāh, and there is absolutely nothing of His creation that encompasses or confines Him. So, we say: Allāh is in the direction of above because Allah's

Abul-Hasan aṭ-Ṭabarī and al-Bayhaqī, so inconsistency was not uncommon among them.

So, in this this age of al-Ash'ariyyah al-Kullābiyyah its scholars affirmed aṣ-Ṣifāt al-Khabariyyah and they accepted them as they were reported. They affirmed the Attributes of al-'Uluww and al-Istiwā' for Allāh in conformity with the Creed of the Ṣahābah and Salaf. However, they opposed the Salaf in the Attribute of Allah's Speech and the Discretionary Attributes—so, they attempted traversing a path between the Sunnah of Allāh's Messenger (عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالِيْهُ عَالِيْهُ عَالِهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالِيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالِهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالِهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالِهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَالَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَالِهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَ

The Ash'arīs of this period as in all times were upon the foundation of the Jahmiyyah, Mu'tazilah and Kullābiyyah in negating the Discre-

Messenger (مَالِسُهُ عَلَيْهُ مَالِهُ) said to the slave-girl, 'Where is Allāh?' The term 'where' is seeking to know the place. So, she said, 'Above the sky.' She affirmed that Allāh is above, and the Prophet (مَالَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَمِيْلُهُ ) confirmed that and said, 'Free her, for she is a believer.' So, the direction affirmed for Allāh is not low or beneath—and the Highness of Allāh is affirmed for Him by way of the Fitrah (innate nature), sound intellect and the Revealed Texts. The affirmation of direction for Allāh is not encompassment of Him because of the fact that His Footstool (al-Kursī) extends over the Heavens and Earth, and it is the place of His two Feet—so, how can He (عَالُونُونُ ) be confined by anything from His creation!? He is in the direction of above without any confinement, and it is not permitted to say, 'There is something that confines Him or encompasses Him.' We say, 'There is over the 'Arsh (the Throne) nothing besides Allāh, the Most Perfect, free of all imperfections." (Majmū' Fatāwā war-Rasā'il Al-'Uthaimīn, 10/1131)

tionary Attributes considering their affirmation to be ascribing incidents and events to Allāh, and therefore the affirmation of a body (jism) for Him (شَبْحَانُوْرَعَالَى). They clung to this foundation even though they differed with the Mu'tazilah in the manner of its implementation and adding their own innovated nuances. So, the Ash'arīs believed the Attributes of the Lord (عَنْمَوَلَى) that are connected to His Will (i.e., the Discretionary Attributes) are eternal (Qadīmah-'Azaliyyah), without occurring at a certain time by His Will. They stated that Allāh's Descending (Nuzūl) in the last third of the night, His Coming (Majī') on the Day of Judgement, His Joy (Faraḥ), His Anger (Ghaḍab), His Pleasure (Riḍā), and so on, are Eternal, not occurring at a time when He Wills—and they said the same about the Qur'ān that it is Eternal (Qadīm-'Azalī) and He did not Speak with it at a certain time by His Will. 128

Furthermore, there is huge difference between this first age of Ash'arīs and the later Mu'tazilite-Ash'arīs and Ash'arī-Philosophers due to the extreme deviations of the latter two stages of Ash'ariyyah. Shaikhul-Islām Ibn Taymiyyah stated:

Indeed al-Hāfidh Abu Bakr al-Bayhaqī (رَحَهُالُلَهُ) and those like him were closer to Ahlus-Sunnah than the followers of Al-Ashʿarī of later times who left many of his beliefs for the beliefs of the Muʿtazilah, Jahmiyyah and Philosophers. 129

The later  $Ash^car\bar{i}s$  who adopted the ideas of the  $Mu^ctazilah$  and the Philosophers displayed open hatred and vehement enmity towards Ahlus-Sunnah wal- $Jama^cah$  (i.e., the  $Salaf\bar{i}s$ ) that has not ceased till this day—and that was not the way of the  $Ash^car\bar{i}s$  of the first era.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> See Majmū<sup>c</sup> al-Fatāwā of Ibn Taymiyyah, 5/411-412.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Al-ʿAqīdah al-Aṣbahāniyyah, part of al-Fatāwā al-Kubrā, 5/513.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com -2023 All rights reserved

## The Second Stage: The Mu'tazilite-Ash'arīs

79

This was the era of expansion beyond the first stage—so, during this stage, some of the notables of the later *Ash'arīs* inclined towards the creed of the *Mu'tazilah* in belief and methodology. The most important of these were:

- 1. Their negation of the Allāh's Eternal Unceasing (*Dhātiyyah*), and Discretionary (*al-Ikhtiyāriyyah*) Attributes including *al-'Uluww* and *al-Istiwā'*.
- 2. Their adoption of the false interpretations (ta'w $\bar{l}$  $\bar{d}t$ ) of the Mu'tazilah and agreeing upon interpretation of the Ascension of All $\bar{a}$ h over the Throne to mean other than and actual Ascension.
- 3. Their rejection of the  $Akhb\bar{a}r$   $al-\bar{A}h\bar{a}d^{130}$  in matters of ' $Aq\bar{\imath}dah$ . This rejection had a great effect on what they considered as the source of knowledge in Creed. Under this banner they attacked the whole edifice of the Prophetic Sunnah and the Authentic Narrations—and so they introduced more principles of the Jahmiyyah, Mu'tazilah and Philosophers into their Creed and laid down the rules of interpretation through metaphors, and therefore negation of the Attributes of Allāh reported in the Texts.

<sup>130</sup> Khabr Al-Āhād: A narration with chains of transmission and narrators at each level of the chain that is limited to a particular number less than the category of al-Mutawātir. Al-Mutawātir: A narration which has been narrated by a large number of narrators at every level of the chain of narration, with numerous chains, without a specified limit. The  $\bar{A}h\bar{a}d$  report is accepted and acted upon in both Belief and  $Shar\bar{i}^c ah$  rulings so long as it is authentic. See Hujjatu Khabril-Āhād fil-ʿAqīdah of al-Albānī (d. 1420 AH) pp. 5-6 and Ibn al-Qayyim in al-Iʿlām, 2/394.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

- 4. Tawhīd according to them is merely Allāh's Rubūbiyyah (Lordship) and they do not know or give attention to Tawhīd al-ʿIbādah, just like the other sects of Kalām, and so they fell into further misguided principles involving polytheistic practices and means that lead to polytheism such as their claim that the Prophet (مَا اللهُ الله
- 5. They displayed great enmity and waged war against *Ahlus-Sunnah* mustering whatever they could to oppose the Creed of the Salaf whilst excusing the deviations of the other sects from *Ahlul-BidGah* and this trait has not ceased till this day.
- 6. The Mu'tazilite-Ash'arīs adopted the proof of Ḥudūth al-Ajsām (i.e., events and incidental attributes take place in bodies), and they posited it as a principle that cannot be opposed—and made it binding upon all Muslims. Through that, they negated the Attributes, and established the intellect as the basis for the accepted Creed even more so than the era of Ash'arīs before them—they delved deeper into 'Ilmul-Kalām' which brought them closer to the ideas of the Philosophers.

In summary, this era saw the <code>Ash'arīs</code> taking more and more from the principles of the <code>Jahmiyyah</code>, <code>Mu'tazilah</code> and the Philosophers—as can be seen from the direction and inclination of al-Juwainī (هَمْدُالُكُهُ) before his repentance. The <code>Ash'arī</code> <code>Madh</code> of this stage amalgamated with the innovated and deviated Sufism, as shown by the writings of Abul-Qāsim al-Qushayrī. Ibn Taymiyyah (هَمُوْلُكُوْلُكُوْلُ) said:

The Mu'tazilite-Ash'arīs are negators of the Sifat al-Shata and other than that.

#### Shaikhul-Islām also stated:

The *Mu'tazilite-Kullāb*īs are those who negate the *Ṣifāt al-Khabariyyah* while affirming only seven or eight Attributes.<sup>133</sup>

And he (حَمَانُكُفَ) said that a group of the later followers of Al-Ashʿarī, 'brought into his Madhhab ideas that were from the principles of the Muʿtazilah.'<sup>134</sup>

The most notable  $Ash^car\bar{\imath}s$  of this stage were: 'Abdul-Qāhir al-Baghdādī (d. 429 AH)<sup>135</sup>, Abul-Maʿālī al-Juwaynī (d. 478 AH)<sup>136</sup>, Abul-Qāsim

<sup>11</sup> 

<sup>131</sup> These Attributes can only be known from the texts of Book and *Sunnah*—such as Allāh's two Eyes, two Hands, Face, Shin, Feet, etc. The affirmation of these Attributes cannot be ascertained through reason and intellect. We only know them because Allāh has informed us about them. (See Chapter 6)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> Aṣ-Ṣafidiyyah of Ibn Taymiyyah, 1/285.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> Majmū<sup>c</sup> al-Fatāwā, 2/113.

 $<sup>^{134}</sup>$  Majmū al-Fatāwā, 12/203.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> He is the author of *al-Farq bayn al-Firaq* in which he gathered the names, origins, and descriptions of the early sects. He studied under the companions of the companions of Abul-Hasan al-Ash'arī which explains why he fell into the errors of the  $Ash'ar\bar{\imath}s$  in creed. Furthermore, he is the first of those (before al-Juwainī) in rejecting the *Khabr al-Āḥād*, negating the *Ṣifāt al-Khabariyyah* established in the Revealed Texts, and making  $ta'w\bar{\imath}l$  of the Attributes of al-'Uluww and al-Istiwā'. See al-Aṭwār al-'Aqīdah fil-Madhhab al-Ash'arī, p. 33.

<sup>136</sup> He is 'Abdul-Malik Ibn 'Abdullāh Ibn Yūsuf al-Juwainī ash-Shāfi'ī. He was a scholar of the Shāfi'ī ma<u>dh</u>hab—he was well-known as *Imām al-Haramayn*. He was from the major scholars of the *Ashā'irah—Imām* adh-Dhahabī (عَمْنُالُكُّةُ) stated that al-Juwainī returned to the *Ma<u>dh</u>hab* of the Salaf in 'Aqīdah at the

al-Qushayrī (d. 465 AH) $^{137}$ , Abul-Fath ash-Shahrastānī (d. 479 AH) $^{138}$ , and Abu Bakr Ibn al- $^{c}$ Arabī (d. 543 AH).

\_

end of his life. It is reported from him that he said: 'If I had known in the beginning what I know now, I would not have busied myself with Speculative Theology (*Kalām*).' (*As-Siyar* of adh-Dhahabī, 11/508) Abul-Fath aṭ-Ṭabarī said: 'I entered upon Abu Maʿālī during his illness, and he said, 'Bear witness that I have recanted from all my speech that is in opposition to the *Sunnah*—and that indeed I die upon that which the old women of Naysabūr die upon." (*As-Siyar*, 11/509)—and as-Subkī was not able to criticise its chain of narration. Adh-Dhahabī also that Abul-Hasan al-Qayrawānī, the student of al-Juwainī, said: 'I heard Abul-Maʿālī saying: 'My companions! Do not engage in studying *Kalām* for if I had known that beforehand where *Kalām* would lead me, I would never have busied myself with it." (*As-Siyar*, 11/508) He wrote his retraction from the *Ashʿarī* Creed in his treatise entitled *Risālatu fī Ithbāt al-Istiwā' wal-Fawqiyyah* (published in 1419 AH, *Dār Tawīq*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> He was a student of al-Juwainī and agreed with him in the *ta'wīl* of *al-'Uluww* and *al-Istiwā'*, and held it was obligatory to make *ta'wīl*. He brought together *Sufism* and the *Ash'arī Madhhab* (though some had already embarked upon this union to some degree)—and due to his efforts, many later notable *Ash'arīs* were also well-known *Sufīs*. He refuted *Ahlus-Sunnah* naming them as *al-Hashawiyyah* (Worthless) and claimed that the *Ash'arīs* were *Ahlus-Sunnah*.

<sup>138</sup> He is the author of al-Milal wan-Nihal, a study of religions and sects.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

### The Third Stage: The Philosopher-Ash<sup>c</sup>arīs.

This was the age in which Philosophy and the *Ash'arī* Theological Speculation (*'Ilm al-Kalām*) amalgamated—and some of those who ascribed themselves to Philosophy and promulgated its ideas appeared such as Ibn Sīnā (d. 427 AH). The *Ash'arīs* of this age went further than those who preceded them in misguidance in extending the principle that the 'intellect is incontestable', and 'the intellect is dominant over the Revealed Texts absolutely'. So, they continued to interpret and distort the Attributes of Allāh and, ultimately negate

<sup>139</sup> He is well-known heretic known in Europe as *Avicenna*: Abū <sup>c</sup>Alī al-Husain Ibn ʿAbdullāh Ibn Sīnā al-Balkhi, influenced heavily by Greek Aristotelian philosophy and Neoplatonism. He studied the works of Porphyry (d. 305 BCE), Aristotle (d. 322 CE) and Ptolemy (d. 170 BCE)—and he wrote extensively about philosophy in Persian and occasionally in Arabic—and his writings became source references in Kalām (Speculative Theology) discourse. Ibn al-Qayyim (d. 752 AH) said: 'Ibn Sīnā was from the Qarāmiṭah-Bāṭiniyyah who do not believe in a beginning or end of the universe, nor in a Lord and Creator, nor in a Messenger sent by Allah, the Most High.' (Ighathat al-Luhfān, 2/1031) Ibn Hajr (d. 852 AH) cited the Ibn Abil-Hamawī, the Shāfiʿī scholar as saying: 'The scholars agreed that Ibn Sīnā believed that the universe was eternal, and he rejected the physical resurrection of the bodies after death but did not reject the spiritual resurrection. It is narrated that Ibn Sīnā said: 'Allāh does not know the detailed knowledge of things—rather His knowledge is general.' The scholars of his time and those who came after him—whose sayings in the fundamentals and the subsidiary affairs are trusted—were clearcut in their words concerning him: that he was upon disbelief and likewise Abu Naṣr al-Farābī was upon disbelief due to their creed in these matters—and the fact that they opposed the beliefs of the Muslims." (*Lisān al-Mīzān* of Ibn Hajr, 2/293)

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

them. They coincided in methodology with the Philosophers in metaphorical interpretations of the Revealed Texts in order to sustain their Creeds—and they battled with each other when the Philosophers negated many of the foundations of Muslim Creed that the Ash'arīs affirmed. However, the Ash'arīte refutations of the excesses of the Philosophers proved to be weak because they both ultimately established their doctrines on the intellect, reason, and metaphorical interpretations of the Texts. Ibn Taymiyyah said, 'The Ash'arī-Philosophers, negators of the Attributes.' 140 And he (المُعَالَيُة) said:

The scholars of the *Mu'tazilah* and their *shaikhs*, and the scholars of the *Ash'ariyyah* and the *Karrāmiyyah* and those like them were better in their affirmation of *Tawhīd ar-Rubūbiyyah* than the *Ash'arī* Philosophers such as ar-Rāzī, al-Āmidī and their ilk because they mixed it (i.e., Allāh's *Rubūbiyyah*) with the *Tawhīd* of the Philosophers such as Ibn Sīnī and his likes.<sup>141</sup>

#### And Ibn Khaldūn (d. 808 AH) said:

The latecomers from the  $Mutakallim\bar{u}n$  blended the principles of Speculative Theology ( $Kal\bar{a}m$ ) with Philosophy (Falfsafah) due to their shared fields of study—and because the subject and questions of Greek Theological Metaphysics—so they came together and became one [field of] study. 142

ʿAbdul al-Qādir Ibn Badrān ad-Dimashqī (d. 1346 AH هُمُنْهُ said: If you look into the books that claim to be *Ashāʿirah*, you will find that they are upon the *Madhhab* (School) of Aristotle and those

© Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

 $<sup>^{140}</sup>$  Aṣ-Ṣafidiyyah of Ibn Taymiyyah, 1/285.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> Minhāj as-Sunnah an-Nabawiyyah, 5/295.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> Muqaddimah Ibn Khaldūn, 2/321.

who followed it such as Ibn Sīnā (d. 427 AH) and al-Farābī (d. 339 AH).  $^{143}$ 

You will see that their books are entitled 'Ilm at-Tawhīd (the Knowledge of Tawhīd)—which conceals their true subject title which is the Theology of [Greek] Metaphysics. And if you are any doubt regarding what we state, then look at the book al-Mawāqif of 'Aḍud ad-Dīn al-Ījī (d. 756 AH) and its explanation by Sayyid al-Jurjānī and its annotations. Then consider the books al-Ishārāt and ash-Shifā of Ibn Sīnā and the explanations of the first book—you will see all of them emanate from the same valley without any difference between them, except in the straightforward use of the titles such as al-Mu'tazilah and al-Jabriyyah.¹⁴⁴

Al-Ash'ariyyah al-Mutafalsafah (The Ash'arī-Philosophers) had distinguishing signs that stood them apart from the early Ash'arīs such as:

\_

He is the philosopher Abu Naṣr Muhammad Ibn Muhammad al-Farābī known among the Arab philosophers as the Second Teacher (with Aristotle being the First Teacher). He entered Harrān and studied under the Sabian Philosophers thus completing his study of philosophy. Al-Farābī claimed that the philosophers had attained greater perfection than the Prophet (﴿), and that the Prophet used concepts to deceive people from the realities. He believed that the universe was created from eternal matter. He affirmed a spiritual resurrection, denied the bodily resurrection and affirmed resurrection only for the enlightened souls not the ignorant ones. (See Majmūʿ al-Fatāwā of Ibn Taymiyyah 2/67, al-Bidāyah wan-Nihāyah of Ibn Kathīr 15/207, Lisān al-Mīzān of Ibn Hajr 3/179)

 $<sup>^{144}</sup>$  Al-Madkhal ilā Madhhab al-Imām Ahmad of Ibn Badrān, checking of  $^{\circ}$ Abdullāh at-Turkī, p. 496.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

1. They completely turned away from the Book of Allāh, the *Sunnah* of the Messenger (مَكَانَّكُونَ and the sayings of the early *Salaf* as sources of learning and understanding. They invented principles and laws in order to reject the sources of Religion such as 'The Law of Allegorical Interpretation' (*Qānūn at-Ta'wīl*) of Abu Hāmid al-Ghazālī (d. 505) and 'The Universal Law' (*Al-Qanūn al-Kulli*) of Fakhr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī (d. 606) wherein they assert that the proofs found in the Revelation do not equate to certain knowledge. Ibn Taymiyyah (عَنَانَيْكُ) explained the argument of these *Ash'arī-Philosophers*:

The speech of the one who says, <sup>145</sup> 'When there is a contradiction between the Revealed proofs (i.e., the Qur'ān and Sunnah) and the intellectual proofs, or between Revelation and reason, or between what is apparent in the Revealed Texts and the decisive intellect—or other similar terms that can be applied—then either the two statements are harmonised, which is impossible because that would be to harmonise between two opposites, or both statements are rejected [because there is no way to harmonise two opposites]. <sup>146</sup>

<sup>145</sup> He is referring to Fakhr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> This is well established from Fakhr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī in his work entitled *Ta'sīs at-Taqdīs*, also known as *Asās at-Taqdīs*, where he said (p. 210): 'Either we believe in that which is from the intellect and in the Revelation—however, that would necessitate believing in two things that contradict each other—or that we reject both of these that contradict each other, and that is [also] not possible.' Ar-Rāzī (d. 606) is from the most famous *imāms* of the *Ash'arīs* who combined the creed of *al-Ash'ariyyah* with Philosophy (*al-Falsa-fah*) and *al-I'tizāl*. See his biography in *Wafāyāt al-A'yān 3/381-385*, *Shadharāt adh-Dhahab 5/21*, *Ṭabaqāt ash-Shāfi'iyyah 5/33-40*, *Lisān al-Mīzān 4/246-249*, *As-Siyar 7/203*.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

Or precedence is given to the Revelation and that is impossible because the intellect is the foundation of the Revelation—so if we were to give Revelation precedence, then that would be to disparage the intellect which is the foundation of Revelation. And to disparage the foundation of something is to disparage the thing itself (i.e., the Revelation). Therefore, giving precedence to the Revelation is to disparage the both the Revelation and the intellect. So, it is therefore necessary to give precedence to the intellect—thereafter, the Revelation is either interpreted allegorically (yuta'awallu) [to agree with the intellect] or by relegating the texts of the Revelation and not ascribing meaning to them (yufawwaḍu).'

Ar-Rāzī and his followers made this doctrine (i.e., giving precedence to the intellect) a universal law (qānūn kullī) with respect to what could be derived from the Books of Allāh (عَلَيْهِ ) and the speech of His Prophets (عَلَيْهِ الْعَلَيْهِ) and what could not be derived from them. For this reason, ar-Rāzī and his followers refused to accept the conclusions of what the Prophets and Messengers came with in the subject of the Attributes of Allāh (عَلَوْهَ ), and other than that from the matters that they informed us about. They imagined that reason contradicts what the Prophets came with—and some of them added to that that the Revealed Proofs do not provide certainty. And we have plentiful speech from the Textual evidence clarifying this saying of theirs in other places.

As for this Law (al- $Q\bar{a}n\bar{u}n$ ) that they have established, then they were preceded in it by a group of others—and from them was

Abu Hāmid [al-Ghazālī]. He invented a law in answer to questions that he was posed<sup>147</sup> regarding certain Texts [from the Qur'ān and Ḥadīth] that caused the questioner difficulties in comprehending—such as the questions he was asked by Qaḍī Abu Bakr Ibn al-ʿArabī<sup>148</sup>. However, Abu Bakr differed with him in many of the answers, and he would say, 'Our Shaikh Abu Hāmid delved into the depths of the Philosophers (*Filāsifah*)— and when he wanted to leave, he was not able to do so.' And it is narrated from Abu Hāmid himself that he said, 'I have little commodity in the field of Ḥadīth studies.'<sup>149</sup>

So, Abu Bakr Ibn al-'Arabī put forth another *law* that was based upon the methodology of Abul-Ma'ālī [al-Juwainī] and others before him such as al-Qādī Abu Bakr al-Bāqillānī.

Like this law (al-qānūn) that has been posited by these individuals, we find with every group—they lay down a law concerning what the Prophets (عَيَهِاللَّهُ came with from Allāh. So, they invent a principle that they believe in and depend upon, and they think that their intellects have affirmed it—and therefore, the Revelation that the Prophets (عَلَيْهِاللَّهُ came with must follow that [law]. So, whatever [the Prophets came with that] agrees

.

 $<sup>^{147}</sup>$  This is a reference to the book authored by al-Ghazālī (d. 505 AH) entitled  $Q\bar{a}n\bar{u}n$  at- $Ta'w\bar{l}$  in which he answered questions posed to him. See the treatise printed in Cairo in 1940 CE/1359 AH, 'Izat al-Husainī.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> Abu Bakr Muhammad Ibn ʿAbdullāh Ibn Muhammad al-ʿArabī al-Maʿāfirī, al-Qādi al-Ishbīlī al-Mālikī—born in Ishbīliyyah in 486 AH and died in 543 AH. He was from the scholars of *Mālikī Madhhab*. He travelled to the east and studied under al-Ghazālī. He was made the judge of Ishbīliyyah. See his biography in *Wafāyāt al-Aʿyān* 3/423, As-Siyar 7/106 and the introduction of as-Sayyid Muhibbud-Dīn al-Khaṭīb of *al-ʿAwāṣim minaul-Qawāṣim*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> See Qānūn at-Ta'wīl of al-Ghazālī, p. 16.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com -2023 All rights reserved

with their law  $(q\bar{a}n\bar{u}n)$ , they accept it, and whatever opposes it, they do not follow.

And this [method] resembles the laws laid down by the Christians in their Nicene Creed<sup>150</sup> wherein they founded the Creed of their faith—and they rebuffed the texts of the Torah and the Gospels concerning it...

As for these these [al-Ash'ariyyah al-Mutafalsafah], then they made their laws (al-qaw $\bar{a}n\bar{i}n$ ) based upon opinions according to their intellects—and they were wrong in their opinions and their intellects. <sup>151</sup>

So, the *Ash'arī-Philosophers* gave priority to logic, reason, and the laws of philosophy over the *Sharī'ah* Texts. They were ignorant of the sciences of *Ḥadīth* and could not distinguish between the authentic and weak narrations—and they became known for disparaging the narrations of the Companions. These later *Ash'arī* Philosophers were ignorant of the of the works of the early *Ash'arī* scholars such as Abu-

<sup>150</sup> Ash-Shaharastānī cited the complete text of this treatise in *al-Milal wan-Nihal* 1/531-533. It was the creed adopted by the Roman Emperor Constantine I (d. 337 CE), and Christian leaders and Bishops when they gathered in Nicaea in 325 CE. The Nicen Creed states, 'We believe in one God, the Father, maker of all things; and in one Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, begotten of the Father, the only begotten [son] that is of the essence of the Father, and we believe in the Holy Ghost.' This is the enduring profession of faith of both the Orthodox and Catholic Churches. Later doctrines that were non-Nicene were considered heretical by the Nicene Christians.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> Dar'u Taʻārud al-ʿAql wan-Naql of Ibn Taymiyyah 1/4-7, (verification of Muhammad Rashād Sālim, Jāmiʿatul-Imām Riyādh edition, 1411 AH/1991 CE).

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com -2023 All rights reserved

Hasan al-Ash'arī, Abu Muhammad Ibn Kullāb and others. Furthermore, the connection between the  $Ash'ar\bar{\imath}s$  of this age and Four  $Im\bar{\alpha}ms^{152}$  broke away. <sup>153</sup>

2. Their methodology was one of introducing doubts into the Islamic Creed as is well-known from ar-Rāzī's writings—they were racked with doubts and uncertainties that led them to utter heresies and words of unbelief such as what is written in the book 'As-Sirr al-Maktūm fī Mukhāṭabat as-Sihr wan-Nujūm' (The Hidden Secret in the Conversation of Magic and the Stars) of Fakhrud-Dīn ar-Rāzī which is a work on astrology, fortune-telling, talismans, and incantations of magic; and likewise, 'Al-Maḍnūn bihi 'ala Ghairi Ahlihi' al-Ghazālī which is a book on the mysteries of the human soul.

So, in this age, most of the doctrinal works of the *Ash'arī-Philosophers* were in conformity with the methodologies of the Philosophers upon the three well known categories: (1) Logic (the study of what makes a sound argument—this is fundamental since philosophy is based on reason), (2) Naturalism (the idea that natural laws operate in the universe) and (3) Metaphysics (the study on the fundamental nature of reality and the first principles of being). And, were it not for the fact that they would sometimes quote from the *Sharī'ah* Texts, it would not be possible to distinguish between the books of *'Ilm al-Kalām'* of the *Ash'arīs* [of this age] from the books of Philosophy. Indeed, one of their leading personalities, at-Taftazānī (d. 792 AH) admitted, "...until it reached the point where it was not possible to distinguish [the speech of the *Ash'arīs*] from Philosophy were it not for

 $<sup>^{152}</sup>$  Abu Hanīfah (d. 150 AH), Mālik Ibn Anas (d. 179 AH), Ash-Shāfi'ī (d. 204 AH) and Ahmad Ibn Hanbal (d. 241 AH).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> Dar'u Ta'ārud al-'Aql wan-Naql 2/159.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

the inclusion of some Revealed Texts—and this was the *Kalām* of the latecomers."<sup>154</sup>

- 3. Tawhīd (Monotheism) according to the *Philosopher-Ash'arīs* was nothing more than Allāh's Lordship (*Tawhīd ar-Rubūbiyah*). Due to this, they embraced the doctrines of the *Tasawwuf* (i.e., *Sufism*), and entered the paths that led them to polytheism (*Shirk*)<sup>155</sup>. Due to this they developed a strong enmity towards the *People of Tawhīd* who rejected the worship of other than Allāh and all the means that lead to it, including devotion to shrines and graves. That is because polytheism according to the *Ahlul-Kalām Philosopher-Ash'arīs* only occurs if one rejects the Lordship of Allāh—and rejects the fact that He alone is the Creator and Provider. As for calling upon the 'saints' in their graves and shrines, seeking their aid, deliverance, intercession, etc., then they do not consider that to be *Shirk* right up until this day. This is, in fact, a hallmark of today's *Philosopher Neo-Ghazalite Ash'arīs*.
- 4. The *Philosopher-Ash'arīs* followed the Philosophers in their assertions that 'Allāh is not inside the universe nor outside it, not above it nor beneath it, not touching nor separate from it.' <sup>156</sup>
- 5. The *Philosopher-Ash'arīs* of this age followed their predecessors from the *Kullābī-Ash'arīs* and *Mu'tazilī-Ash'arīs* (as well as the *Jahmiyyah*, *Mu'tazilah* and *Kullābiyyah*) and negated the Discretionary Attributes considering their affirmation to be incidental attributes and

<sup>155</sup> See al-Aṭwār al-ʿAqīdah fil-Ma<u>dh</u>hab al-Ashʿarī, p. 50, Manhaj Ahlus-Sunnah wa Manhaj al-Ashāʿirah fī Tawhīdillāh of Khālid Nūr, published 1416 AH, Makatabah Ghurabā, Madīnah, pp. 165-169.

 $<sup>^{154}</sup>$  Sharh al-'Aqā'id an-Nafsiyyah of at-Taftazānī, p. 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Mawāqif fī 'Ilmil-Kalām p. 272, Hiwār ma' Ash'arī pp. 79-80. See al-Aṭwār al-'Aqīdah fil-Ma<u>dh</u>hab al-Ash'arī, p. 50

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com -2023 All rights reserved

events within bodies—and so, they did not affirm except the seven Attributes that agreed with their intellect and innovated principles.<sup>157</sup>

6. However, the *Philosopher-Ash'arīs* in this age differed with their predecessors (the *Kullābī-Ash'arīs*) and instead agreed with the *Mu'tazilah* in negating the *Ṣifāt al-Khabariyyah*. They rejected the Attributes of *al-Istiwā'* and *al-'Uluww*—and claimed that their affirmation necessitates *Tajsīm* (ascribing a body to Allāh) and *Tashbīh* (resembling Him to the created beings). So, they agreed with al-Juwainī and the *Mu'tazilah* who interpreted *al-Istiwā'* (Ascension) to mean *alistīlā'* (to conquer)—and they interpreted *ar-Ru'yah* (the believer's seeing Allāh in Paradise) to mean increase in knowledge, which is the saying of the *Mu'tazilah*. <sup>158</sup> And this is the enduring creed of the *Ash'ariyyah* till this time.

7. The *Philosopher-Ash'arīs* held strictly to the principle of  $\underline{H}ud\bar{u}th$  al-Ajsām and kept it as a pillar necessary for refuting those who claimed that the universe is eternal. They took this principle—i.e., that incidents and events can only happen in bodies (and all bodies are created)—and made it a central pillar in their refutation of those who believed in the eternity of the universe. This principle (or proof) is at the heart of ' $\overline{Ilm}$  al-Kalām—and it is the proof utilised by the Negators of the Discretionary Attributes. 159 Armed with this principle,

 $^{157}$  Mawqif Ibn Taymiyyah minal-Ash $\bar{a}^{c}$ irah, 2/725, 809.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> Mawqif Ibn Taymiyyah minal-Ashā<sup>c</sup>irah, 3/1377.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> See Al-Usūl allatī banā ʿalaihā al-Mubtadiʿah Ma<u>dh</u>habahum fiṣ-Ṣifāt war-Radd ʿalaihā min Kalām Shaikhil-Islām Ibn Taymiyyah of ʿAbdul-Qādir Ṣūfī, published 1418 AH by Maktabah al-Ghurabā al-Athariyyah, al-Madīnah, 1/397; Fakhr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī wa Ārā'uhu al-Kalāmiyyah wal-Fasafiyyah of Muhammad Zarkān, published by Dār al-Fikr, p. 420

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

they introduced a methodology designed to acquire knowledge of the Religion and its Laws—and this opposed the Methodology of Allāh's Messenger (صَرَّالِتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمٌ) and the Salaf of the Ummah (صَرَّالِتُهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمٌ).

8. The Philosopher-Ash<sup>c</sup>arīs were known for belittlement, hatred, disparagement, and mockery of Ahlus-Sunnah wal-Jamā'ah. They used derogatory terms against Ahlus-Sunnah that were designed to cause the Muslims to flee from them such as al-Mushabbihah (the Resemblers), al-Hashawiyyah (the Worthless), and al-Jismiyyah (The Embodiers). They would not speak about those who followed the Madhhab of the Salaf and Ahlul-Hadīth except with vile and wicked speech seeking to refute them and diminish their worth in the eyes of the Muslims. The first people to use the term al-Hashawiyyah were the Mu'tazilah who said that the Companion 'Abdullāh Ibn 'Umar (هَوَاللَّهُوَ ) was a Hashawī (worthless). As for the terms al-Mujassimah (the Embodiers) and al-Mushabbihah, then every sect that denied or negated the Attributes of Allah labelled those who affirmed the Attributes with these labels. So, this age of al-Ash'arīyyah al-Mutafalsafah opposed the Creed of Abul-Hasan al-Ash (عَمَاهُ اللَّهُ) in many ways. The great scholars of the Salaf would refute severely those who claimed that affirmation of Allah's was tantamount to resemblance (tashbīh) of the created beings. 160

9. These al-Ash'arīyyah al-Mutafalsafah remained upon  $Irj\bar{a}'$  in the issue of  $Im\bar{a}n$ , and upon Jabr in the affair of Pre-decree—same as the previous  $Ash'ar\bar{i}s$ .<sup>161</sup>

 $^{160}$  See Sharh Usūl I'tiqād Ahlis-Sunnah wal-Jamā'ah of al-Lālikā'ī 4/532, Dhamm al-Kalām of al-Harawī 4/313 no. 1177, 4/533 no. 939.

 $<sup>^{161}</sup>$  See Majmū $^{c}$  al-Fatāwā of Ibn Taymiyyah 6/55, al-Firaq al-Kalāmiyyah p. 58.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

10. These *Philosopher-Ashʿarīs* represent the enduring Creed of the *Ashʿarīs* until this time of ours. There was not among them a true scholar in the sciences of the *Sharīʿah* such as Ḥadīth, *Tafsīr*, etc., as was found among them in previous times. Their scholarship was in the fields Philosophy, Scepticism, Greek Logic, Rhetoric, Gnosticism, etc. So, in order to raise their leading personalities, they would ascribe to them exaggerated titles such as Ḥujjatul-Islām (the Proof of Islām) for al-Ghazālī. And *Imām* Abul-Ḥasan al-Ashʿarīʾs final work, al-Ibānah, is viewed as heretical. For this reason, they exert every effort to falsify its ascription to Abul-Hasan (المُعَالَى المُعَالِيةِ المُعَا

As for some of the scholars of Ḥadīth who were affected by some of the sayings the <code>Ash'ariyyah</code> al-Kullābiyyah and spoke with them in some instances, then it is not correct to ascribe to them that were <code>Ash'arīs</code>, because they did not adopt the principles of the <code>Ash'ariyyah</code> nor propagate them, as we have mentioned previously. So, these scholars (affected to a degree by the <code>Ash'ariyyah</code> al-Kullābiyyah) were closer to the Sunnah and its people in both era and methodology—and the <code>Philosopher-Ash'arīs</code> of this third stage were the furthest from all that which came before, and they remain upon that till this day of ours.

11. As for other affairs of belief such as the precedence given to the Rightly Guided Caliphs and the virtues of the Companions, belief in the Day of Resurrection, Paradise, Hellfire, the Intercession on Judgement Day, that the sinners will not be in Hell forever, and so on, then the  $Ash^car\bar{\imath}s$  of all the ages have been in agreement with  $Ahlus-Sunnah\ wal-Jam\bar{\alpha}^cah.^{162}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> See Majmū<sup>c</sup> al-Fatāwā of Ibn Taymiyyah 6/55, Mawqif Ibn Taymiyyah minal-Ashā<sup>c</sup>irah, 2/726; al-Aṭwār al-ʿAqīdah fil-Ma<u>dh</u>hab al-Ash<sup>c</sup>arī, p. 51-53.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

The most notable proponents of al-Ash'ariyyah in this age included Abu Hāmid al-Ghazālī (d. 505 AH)<sup>163</sup>, Fakhr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī (d. 606 AH)<sup>164</sup>, al-Āmidī (d. 631 AH)<sup>165</sup> and 'Adud ad-Dīn al-Ījī (d. 756 AH).

\_

 $<sup>^{163}</sup>$  Abu Hāmid Muhammad Ibn Muhammad al-Ghazālī aṭ-Ṭūsī, born in 450 AH and died in 505 AH. He accompanied and studied under Imām al-Haramain al-Juwainī for a while and he mastered al-Kalām and argumentation. He went through various stages in his life: he become engrossed with Philosophy and eventually abandoned it and refuted it—then he focused on Speculative Theology ('Ilmul-Kalām) and became its leading proponent. He then took to the path of the Bātiniyyah seeking hidden realities and concealed meanings in the Sharī<sup>c</sup>ah—after turning away from that, he turned towards Sufism. The scholars of Islam refuted him, even his own student and companion, Abu Bakr Ibn al-ʿArabī stated: 'Our teacher Abu Hāmid delved deeply into philosophy, then he desired to exit from it but was not able to, and he had speech agreeing with the beliefs of the Bāṭiniyyah that can be found in his books.' Ibn Taymiyyah makes the point that though Abu Hāmid was endowed with strong intelligence that led him to eventually refute the philosophers and declare them to be unbelievers, and he venerated prophethood—nevertheless there was still in some of his speech and writings the ideas of philosophy and its principles in opposition to Sunnah and sound reason. For this reason, he was refuted by a group of the scholars of Khurasān, Irāq, Morocco and Spain. Ibn Taymiyyah also stated that the likes of Abul-Ma<sup>c</sup>ālī and al-Ghazzalī had little knowledge of the narrations of the early Salaf—and likewise their knowledge of Hadīth was not strong such that they could be counted among the people of specialisation. They were not acquainted with Ahādīth of al-Bukhārī and Muslim except as the common people—they would not distinguish between a hadīth mutawātir known to the people of knowledge and a fabricated hadīth that is a lie-and their books testify to this and to other strange matters. It is said about al-Ghazzālī that he returned to the path of Ahlul-Ḥadīth at the end of his life, and Allāh

knows best. (See  $Majm\bar{u}^c$  al-Fatāwā of Ibn Taymiyyah 4/71-72, Siyar  $A^cl\bar{u}m$  an-Nubalā' of adh-Dhahabī 19/323, 328)

164 Shaikhul-Islām Ibn Taymiyyah (رَحَهُوُلُسُّة) reported the regret of some of those who delved into Kalām and Philosophy among the Muslims and were misguided through it, such as Fakhr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī (died 606 AH), who propounded self-sufficiency of the intellect—and giving reason and 'rational thought' precedence over the Revealed Texts. In the end, ar-Rāzī said with no small amount of regret, "I have looked into, and reflected over the paths of Kalām and the philosophical methodologies—and I did not see in any of it a cure for the afflicted, nor a quenching of the thirst of those who were desperately thirsty. I found (in the end) that the nearest of paths was the path of the Qurān. So, read in affirmation of the Attributes, 'The Most Merciful has ascended over the Throne.' (Tāhā: 10) And His (تَبَارُكُوَتَعَالَيُ saying, 'To Him ascend good words.' (Fātir: 10) And read concerning negation of resemblance to Him, 'There is nothing like unto Him.' (Ash-Shūrā: 11) And His (تَبَارُكُ وَتَعَالَىٰ) saying, 'And they cannot encompass Him with their knowledge.' (Tāhā: 11) The one who experiences what I experienced and went through what I went through will come to realise what I now realise." Commenting on this, 'Allāmah Muhammad Amān Al-Jāmī (جَمْهُ اللَّهُ) stated, 'Speculative Theology is based on philosophy, whether it be the philosophy of the Greeks or the philosophies of those who ascribe themselves to Islam.' He continued, 'Perhaps Allah made the final affair of ar-Rāzī upon this, so if that is the case, then it means that he repented.' (See Sharh al-Fatāwā al-Hamawiyyah Al-Kubrā of Ibn Taymiyyah, 1/67, 76)

<sup>165</sup> Ibn Taymiyyah said: 'From the well-known narrations that have reached us is that *Shaikh* Abu 'Amr Ibn Aṣ-Ṣalāh (d. 643 AH) commanded that the school that was in the hands of Abul-Hasan al-Āmidī (died 631) be seized. He said: 'Seizing it from him is more virtuous than seizing control of the city of Acre [from the Christian Crusaders]." ( $Majm\bar{u}^c al$ -Fatāwā 28/232)

# Summary of the Difference in the Stages of the Evolution of the $Ash^cari\ Madhhab^{166}$

No.	Issue	Ash'ariyyah	Ash'ariyyah	Ash'ariyyah
		Kullābiyyah	Mu <sup>c</sup> tazilah	Mutafalsafah
1	Sources of Religion	Qur'ān, Sunnah—with emphasis on the intellect	Intellect	Intellect, Logic and philosophy
2	Method of derivation	Interpretation of the texts at times (due to the Jahmī principle)	Interpretation (ta'wīl) and refusal to accept the Āḥād Ḥadīths.	Distortion of the Revealed Texts, and in- terpretation
3	Stance towards the Salaf and early Ahlul- Ḥadīth	Praise of them	Disparage- ment and finding faults	Open unre- strained en- mity
4	Stance towards the Mu <sup>c</sup> tazilah	Opposition and enmity	Agreement with them	Agreement, and lifting of differences
5	Stance towards Philosophy	Opposition and enmity	Benefited from them	Agreement and praise
6	Stance towards Manṭaq (Logic)	Refuted it	Quiet regarding it	Embraced it and spread it
7	Tawhīd al-ʿIbādah	Some good indications	Connected to Sufism	Defence of Shirk and its paths
8	Discretionary Attributes (aṣ-Ṣifāt al- Ikhtiyāriyyah)	Negators	Negators	Negators
9	Aṣ-Ṣifāt al-Khabariyyah	General Affirmation	Negators	Negators

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> See al-Aṭwār al-ʿAqīdah fil-Ma<u>dh</u>hab al-Ashʿarī, p. 54-55.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright AbuKhadeejah.com —2023 All rights reserved

10	Allāh's Highness: Al-ʿUluww	Affirmed it	Negated it	Negated it: 'Allāh is not inside the universe nor
11	Allāh's Ascension: Al-Istiwā'	Affirmed it	Interpreta- tion (ta'wīl)	outside of it'  Interpretation to mean al-istīlā' (i.e., to conquer)
12	Seeing Allāh: Ar-Ru'yah	Affirmed it	Affirmation without direction or meeting with Allāh	Interpreta- tion to mean increase in knowledge
13	Imān	Murji'ah in general terms	Muriji'ah	Murji'ah
14	Pre-Decree: Al-Qadar	Jabriyyah	Jabriyyah	Jabriyyah
15	Ṣahābah and the Caliphs	Agreement with Ahlus- Sunnah	Agreement with Ahlus- Sunnah	Agreement with Ahlus- Sunnah
16	Hereafter and Intercession	Agreement with Ahlus- Sunnah	Agreement with Ahlus- Sunnah	Agreement with Ahlus- Sunnah

And all praise is for All $\bar{a}h$ , the Lord of the worlds, who has guided us to Isl $\bar{a}m$  and the Sunnah.