Abul-Hasan Al-Ash^carī's Shift Away from Ibn Kullāb in the Third Stage of His Life and the Authenticity of his Work, *Al-Ibānah*

Imām Adh-Dhahabī (رَحْمَهُ أَلَنَّهُ) stated,

It reached us that Abul-Hasan repented—he ascended the pulpit in Basrah and said, 'I used to say that the Qurān is created, that people will not see Allāh with their eyes and that evil is solely my action and not from the Pre-Decree. So, I repent and believe in refuting the Mu'tazilah.'¹⁶

It is apparent that in the final stage of his life, Abul-Hasan distanced himself from the 'aqīdah (creed) of Ibn Kullāb and adopted the creed propounded by *Imām* Ahmad Ibn Hanbal (ﷺ). He made that clear in his final writings such as *Al-Ibānah*, *Risālah ilā Ahl Ath-Thaghar* and *Maqālāt Al-Islāmiyyīn*. Abul-Hasan Al-Ash'arī (ﷺ) himself wrote concerning his final stances in *Al-Ibānah* 'an Usūl Ad-Diyānah saying:

The speech with which we speak, and our belief that we take as our Religion, is to hold fast to the Book of our Lord, to the Sunnah of our Prophet (مَوْنَالَتُنَا), and what has been reported from the best of people among the Companions, the Tābiʿīn and the Imāms of Hadīth. That is what we cling to—and to what was said by Abu Abdullāh Ahmad Ibn Muhammad Ibn Hanbal (حَمْنَالَتُنَا), may Allāh illuminate his face, elevate his rank, and grant him a

_

¹⁶ As-Siyar (15/89).

huge reward. This is what we hold [to be true], and those who contradict his sayings are to be opposed because he was the virtuous $Im\bar{a}m$, the complete leader through whom Allāh clarified the truth when misguidance became manifest—and through whom He (شَنْعَانُوْوَعَانُ) repelled misguidance, made clear the $Minh\bar{a}j^{17}$, extinguished the innovations of the innovators, the deviations of the deviants and the doubts of the doubters. So, may Allāh's mercy be upon him, for he is a foremost, eminent, and dignified $Im\bar{a}m$ —and, also upon all the great scholars of the Muslims.

However, there is an important question that the scholars of *Ahlus-Sunnah wal-Hadīth* have posed many times in their writings, and that is, 'Was Abul-Hasan Al-Ash^carī's return to the *Madhhab* of the *Salaf* in creed a complete return? Or did there remain with him some of the ideas of Ibn Kullāb even though he made clear in *Al-Ibānah* his staunch adherence to the creed of *Imām* Ahmad and the *Salaf As-Sālih*?' *Al-Hāfidh* Ibn Kathīr (d. 774 AH) said:

They (the scholars) have said regarding the *Shaikh*, Abul-Hasan Al-Ash^carī that he had three stages:

The first stage: When he was a Mu'tazilī from which he recanted—and concerning this, there is no doubt.

The second stage: Wherein he affirmed the seven Attributes of Allāh that 'conform' to the intellect, and they are: Life (Al-Hayāt), Knowledge (Al-ʿIlm), Ability (Al-Qudrah), Will (Al-Irādah), Hearing (As-Sama'), Seeing (Al-Basr) and Speech (Al-Kalām). As for the Attributes of His Self (Adh-Dhāt), then they

¹⁷ The Methodology of the Sunnah.

would make metaphorical interpretations (ta'wīl) for them such His Face (Al-Wajh), His two Hands (Al-Yadayn), His Foot (Al-Qadam), His Shin (As-Sāq), etc.

The third stage: He affirmed all the Allāh's Attributes without questioning how they are $(taky\bar{t}f)$ and without resemblance with the creation $(tashb\bar{t}h)$ adopting in that regard the methodology of the *Salaf*. And this is his methodology in *Al-Ibānah* which is the last book he authored.¹⁸

Imām Adh-Dhahabī (رَحْمُهُ اللّهُ) concurred saying:

Al-Ash'arī was born in the year 260 AH, and he died in 324 AH in Basra, may Allāh have mercy upon him. He used to be a $Mu'tazil\bar{\iota}$, then he repented—and agreed with the People of $Had\bar{\iota}th$ ($Ash\bar{a}b$ $al-Had\bar{\iota}th$) in matters wherein the Mu'tazilah opposed them. Thereafter, he came to agree with most of the views of the People of $Had\bar{\iota}th$, and that is what we have mentioned about him, that he would report their $ijm\bar{a}^c$ (consensus) regarding the creed (' $aq\bar{\iota}dah$)—and he agreed with them in all of that. **Therefore, he went through three stages:** (1) the stage when he was a $Mu'tazil\bar{\iota}$, (1) the stage when he was a $Sunn\bar{\iota}$ in some things to the exclusion of others, and (3) the stage where in most of his creed, he was a $Sunn\bar{\iota}$ —and this is what we have come to know about his condition. 19

It is true to say, and without doubt, that *Al-Ibānah* from all his works is the most plentiful in affirming and calling to the way of

_

 $^{^{18}}$ Tabaqāt Al-Fuqahā Ash-Shāfi (in (1/210), and Al-Murtadā Az-Zubaydī cited it from Ibn Kathīr in Ithāf As-Sādah Al-Muttaqīn (2/4).

¹⁹ Kitāb Al-ʿArsh of Adh-Dhahabī (pp. 302-302).

Ahlus-Sunnah wal-Jamā'ah in creed, and in refuting of the sayings of Ahlul-Bid'ah 20 —and especially his refutations against the Mu'tazilah who believed that the Qur'ān is created, and against the Karrāmiyyah who said that Allāh did not speak, and then He started speaking.

Shaikh Al-Islām Ibn Taymiyyah concluded that even though the authorship of Al-Ibānah brought Al-Ash^carī very close to the creed of Ahlus-Sunnah, nevertheless there remained with him some remnants of the ideas of Ibn Kullāb, and Allāh knows best.²¹

The later chapters of this work have been translated directly from the book of Abul-Hasan Al-Ash'arī (ﷺ) entitled Al-Ibānah 'an Usūl ad-Diyānah, published by Dārul-Furqān (1431 AH/2010 CE) with a biography of Abul-Hasan Al-Ash'arī by Al-'Allāmah Hammād Ibn Muhammad Al-Ansārī (ﷺ). The book was praised and recommended by Al-Imām 'Abdul-'Azīz Ibn Bāz and Shaikh Ismā'īl Ibn Muhammad Al-Ansāri, may Allāh's mercy be upon them all.

Al-Ibānah clarifies the belief of Abul-Hasan Al-Ashʿarī as it was at the end of his life, after he abandoned the deviations of the Muʿtazilah and the Kullābiyyah. So, every sincere Muslim who has been confused or misled regarding Abul-Hasan Al-Ashʿarī (مَحَمُنُاللَّهُ) and his belief should read his book, Al-Ibānah.

Some of the greatest scholars (and verifiers) of the *Ummah* through the ages have verified and attested that *Al-Ibānah ʿan Usūl*

²⁰ Ibn Al-ʿImād said regarding *Al-Ibānah*, "It is the last of the books that he wrote, and it is what his companions rely on when defending him from those who attack him." *See Shadharāt Adh-Dhahab* (2/303).

²¹ See *Minhāj As-Sunnah* of Ibn Taymiyyah (2/227-229). For a detailed discussion on the topic, see *Mawqif Ibn Taymiyyah min al-Ashā*'irah of Dr Abdur-Rahmān Al-Mahmūd (1/362-409).

- ad-Diyānah is the authorship of Imām Abul-Hasan Al-Ashʿarī (وَحَمُوْلَانَا). The following is a list of just some of those scholars:
- 1. **Ibn ʿAsākir** (d. 571 AH رَحَمُنُالَنَّة) in Tabyīn Kadhib al-Mufatri (pp. 128-136, 28, 152, 171).
- 2. **Abu Bakr Al-Bayhaqī Ash-Shāfi**ʿī (d. 458 AH وَحَمُدُاللَهُ) in Al-Iʿitiqād wal-Hidāyah ilā Sabeel ar-Rashād (pp. 31, 32, 26).
- 3. **Al-Imām Adh-Dhahabī** (هَا الْهَا الْهَاهُا) in Al-'Uluww lil-'Aliyyil-Ghaffār (p. 160), and he states that its ascription was also confirmed by Ibn 'Asākir and Abul-'Abbās Ahmad Ibn Thābit at-Tarqī.
- 4. Ibn Farhūn Al-Mālikī (d. 799 AH عَمْالُهُ) in Ad-Dībāj (pp. 193-194).
- 5. **Abul-Falāh Abdul-Hayy Ibn Al-ʿImād Al-Hanbalī** (d. 1089 AH فَعَالُكُ) in Shadharāt adh-Dhahab fi Akhbāri min Dhahab (p. 303).
- 6. **As-Sayyid Murtaḍā Az-Zubaydī** (d. 1205 AH وَحَمُّالَكُ) in *It-hāf As-Sādah Al-Muttaqīn bi-Sharh Asrār Ihyā ʿUlūm ad-Dīn* (2/2).
- 7. **Ibn Kathīr** (d. 774 AH మోషక్స్) in *Al-Bidāyah wan-Nihāyah* (11/187) where he affirmed that *Al-Ibānah* was the final book of Abul-Hasan.
- 8. Abul-Qāsim 'Abdul-Malik Ibn 'Īsā Ibn Dirbās Ash-Shāfi'ī (المَّانَّةُ) in Adh-Dhab 'an Abil-Hasan Al-Ash'arī where he powerfully and convincingly affirms the authorship of Al-Ibānah to Abul-Hasan while refuting the opposers. He also cites others who ascribed the work to Abul-Hasan Al-Ash'arī such as Abu 'Uthmān Ismā'īl As-Sābūni (d. 449 AH المَّانَةُ), Abu 'Ali Al-Hasan Al-Fārisī, the Faqīh Abul-Ma'ālī Ash-Shāfi'ī, the author of Adh-Dhakhā'ir, and others.
- 9. **Ibn Taymiyyah** (d. 728 AH وَهَمُهُ لَلَكُ) in Al-Fatāwa Al-Hamawiyyah Al-Kubrā (p. 70).
- 10. **Ibn Al-Qayyim** (d. 751 AH وَحَمُدُلْنَهُ) in Ijtimā' Al-Juyūsh Al-Islāmiyyah 'ala Ghazwil-Mu'attilah wal-Jahmiyyah (pp. 68, 111).